ERRATUM Open Access



## Erratum to: The Liverpool Care Pathway: discarded in cancer patients but good enough for dying nursing home patients? A systematic review

Bettina S. Husebo<sup>1,2</sup>, Elisabeth Flo<sup>1,3\*</sup> and Knut Engedal<sup>4</sup>

## **Erratum**

After publication of the article [1], it has been brought to our attention that the wrong title was used on initial publication. The correct title of this article is "The Liverpool Care Pathway: discarded in cancer patients but good enough for dying nursing home patients? A systematic review". The original version of the article has been updated to reflect this.

## **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Elderly and Nursing Home Medicine, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. <sup>2</sup>Bergen Municipality, Bergen, Norway. <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. <sup>4</sup>Norwegian National Advisory Unit on Ageing and Health (Ageing and Health), Vestfold hospital and Oslo universitet hospital, Ullevaal, Oslo, Norway.

Received: 21 August 2017 Accepted: 21 August 2017 Published online: 25 August 2017

## Reference

 Husebo B, Flo E, Engedal K. The Liverpool Care Pathway: a systematic review discarded in cancer patients but good enough for dying nursing home patients? BMC Medical Ethics. 2017;18:1. doi: 10.1186/s12910-017-0205-x.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Centre for Elderly and Nursing Home Medicine, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Elisabeth.flo@uib.no